SECTION 6 Planned Projects

## PROJECT <br> PRIORITIZATION PROCESS

The identification and prioritization of transportation projects is completed through a process of coordinating with area jurisdictions, analyzing the regional travel demand model, and working with the public through transportation studies and surveys.

## PROJECT PRIORITIZATION

As part of the funding process, proposed projects are prioritized by relative importance (i.e. regional significance, safety, congestion mitigation, etc.). Given the extensive list of recommended future projects at any one-time, regional prioritization is critical to assuring that transportation improvements are initiated and accomplished in accordance with their regional significance.

Several critical elements were used to define the priority of each project. Those elements are found in Table 6.1.

## TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Once projects have been prioritized, they are adopted into KMPO's Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP). The TIP is a short range, six-year program of highway and transit projects for KMPO’s Planning Area (Figure 1.1). The TIP is updated annually; most recently, the FY 2020-2026 TIP was adopted by the KMPO Board in September 2019.

The TIP is an identification of projects from various Federal, State and local funding programs that have been selected for implementation. Thus, the projects included in the TIP are financially constrained; only those projects that can reasonably anticipate full funding based on historical funding trends are included.

Table 6.1 Priority Array Scoring Criteria

| Scoring Criteria <br> High Points = Higher Priority |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Priority Factors | 1-3 Points | 4 to 6 Points | 7-10 Points |
| Cost | High Cost Per Mile | Moderate Cost Per Mile | Low Cost Per Mile |
| Environmental Constraints | Significant Impacts | Mitigated Impacts | Minimal Issues |
| Right-of-Way Availability | R/W Significantly Developed | R/W Undeveloped Private | Agency Owned R/W |
| Development Pressures | Undeveloped Area | Moderately Developed Area | Densely Developed Area |
| Regional Importance | 1 Agency | 2 or 3 Agencies | Countywide |
| Capacity Problems | Based on 10 times the set volume to capacity ration set at design year. |  |  |
| Community Support | Significant Resistance | No Positive or Negative Support | Significant Support |
| Available Funding (likeliness) | Unfunded/Does not Quality for Known Funding Sources | Unfunded/Qualified for Funding Sources | Funded or High on Agency Priority for Funding |
| Ability to Construct | Low | Moderate | High |
| Safety | Minimal Safety Issues | Moderate Safety Issues | Significant Safety Issues |
| Impacted Utilities | Significant Utility Impacts | Moderate Utility Impacts | Minimal Utility Impacts |

## STATE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Upon adoption of the regional TIP by KMPO, the project lists are reviewed for inclusion in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) by the Idaho Transportation Department. Only projects included in the STIP (and the regional TIP) can be awarded federal funding. The current STIP for FY 2020-2026 was adopted by the ITD Board in September 2019.

## SHORT-TERM PROJECTS

Short-term projects are programmed projects that have been adopted into the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program for the FY 2020-2026 or are future projects that can reasonably anticipate being fully funded by 2025. The following maps depict the region's overall transportation plan through 2025
(Figures 6.1a \& 6.1b). Table 6.2 provides brief descriptions for the corresponding projects.
Projects are not listed in priority order. Complete project details can be found in Appendix E.

For bicycle and pedestrian projects, see Existing and Proposed Non-Motorized Pathways maps (Section 3, Figures 3.22a-3.22e).

Table 6.2 Short-term Projects

| ID | Location | Project Description | Est. Cost (2020) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Kathleen Ave | Add EB turn lane | \$785,000 |
| 2 | 15th St | Widen to three lanes | \$2,400,000 |
| 3 | Atlas Rd | Widen to three lanes | \$6,900,000 |
| 4 | Kathleen Ave/ Margaret Ave | Widen to three lanes | \$1,550,000 |
| 5 | Sherman Ave | Revitalization | \$6,000,000 |
| 6 | Hayden Ave | Reconstruct 4 lane section | \$1,500,000 |
| 7 | Ramsey Rd | Construct new 3 lane section | \$6,900,000 |
| 8 | 12th Ave | Construct urban collector | \$458,000 |
| 9 | Prairie Ave | Reconstruct to 5 lanes | \$4,973,000 |
| 10 | Spokane St | Construct Major Collector | \$652,000 |
| 11 | Cecil Rd | Reconstruct | \$205,000 |
| 12 | Cecil Rd | Reconstruct | \$294,000 |
| 13 | Cecil Rd | Reconstruct | \$393,000 |
| 14 | 16th Ave | Widen section w/ sidewalks | \$800,000 |
| 15 | Horsehaven Ave | Construct Minor Collector | \$928,000 |
| 16 | Bluegrass/Hope <br> Ave | Construct Major Collector | \$1,236,000 |
| 17 | Hope Ave | Construct Major Collector | \$686,000 |
| 18 | McGuire Rd | Reconstruct to 4 lanes | \$737,000 |
| 19 | Boekel Rd | New 3 lane segment | \$244,000 |
| 20 | Meyer Rd | Reconstruct to 3 lanes | \$1,830,000 |
| 21 | Meyer Rd | Reconstruct to 4 lanes | \$3,700,000 |
| 22 | Boekel Rd | Reconstruct to 3 lanes | \$2,330,000 |
| 23 | Lancaster Rd | Reconstruct to 2 lanes | \$1,160,000 |
| 24 | Rockford Bay Rd | Rebuild | \$600,000 |
| 25 | Loff's Bay Rd | Rebuild | \$328,000 |
| 26 | Kidd Island Rd | Reconstruct | \$3,415,000 |
| 27 | French Gulch/ Fernan Hill Rds | Overlay and safety Improvements | \$1,600,000 |
| 28 | Prairie Ave | Reconstruct to 5 Lanes | \$4,500,000 |
| 29 | Beck Rd | Overlay and widen shoulders | \$2,100,000 |
| 30 | SH 41 | Rebuild as 4-lane divided highway | \$39,700,000 |
| 31 | SH 53 | Widen to 3 lanes | \$4,500,000 |
| 32 | SH 53 | Widen roadway w/ turn bay | \$3,500,000 |
| 33 | SH 53 | Widen roadway to 3 lanes w/ right turn bays | \$9,000,000 |
| 34 | US 95 | Corridor access improvements | \$7,300,000 |
| 35 | Wilbur Rd | Construct new segment | \$2,000,000 |
| 36 | SH 41 | Reconstruct to 4 lane divided hwy | \$28,000,000 |
| 37 | SH 41 | Reconstruct to 4 lane divided hwy | \$11,900,000 |
| 38 | SH 41 | ADA Improvements | \$440,000 |
| 39 | 190 | Install concrete median | \$6,300,000 |
| 40 | SH 54 | Mill and Overlay | \$600,000 |
| 41 | Sherman/Lakeside \& $1^{\text {st }}$ to $8^{\text {th }}$ St | Upgrade 11 signals | \$1,251,000 |
| 42 | Ramsey Rd \& Honeysuckle Ave | Roundabout | \$1,164,000 |
| 43 |  <br> Prairie Ave | Align approaches, build north leg | \$100,000 |
| 44 | Clark Fork Pkwy \& Seltice Way | Dual-lane roundabout | \$717,000 |
| 45 | Corbin Rd \& Seltice Way | ADD SB left turn bay, signal | \$668,000 |
| 46 | Spokane St \& 6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave | Modify signal and approach | \$509,000 |

Table 6.2 Short-term Projects - Continued

| ID | Location | Project Description | Est. Cost |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $(2020)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4 7}$ | Idaho St \& 4 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4 8}$ | Spokane St \& 15 <br> th <br> Ave | Realign 5th and 4 <br> roundabout | Add construct |$\quad \$ 700,000$

Table 6.2 Short-term Projects - Continued

| ID | Location | Project Description | Est. Cost (2020) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 78 | Miles Ave \& US 95 | Add signal \& turn lanes | \$1,720,000 |
| 79 | US 95 \& Canfield Ave | Remove signal; Restrict to right in/right out | \$125,000 |
| 80 | Boekel Rd \& US 95 | Restrict to right in/right out | \$51,000 |
| 81 | Prairie Ave \& US 95 | Add EB turn lane | \$605,000 |
| 82 | US 95 \& Prairie Ave | Add SB left turn lane | \$71,000 |
| 83 | Neider Ave \& US 95 | Add WB right turn lane | \$338,000 |
| 84 | Prairie Ave \& US 95 | Add WB turn lane | \$306,000 |
| 85 | US 95 \& Kathleen Ave | Add SB left turn lane | \$71,000 |
| 86 | Honeysuckle Ave \& US 95 | Change WB turn lane into thru movement | \$31,000 |
| 87 | Hayden Ave \& US 95 | Add EB right and thru lanes | \$665,000 |
| 88 | Kathleen Ave \& US 95 | Add EB right turn lane | \$478,000 |
| 89 | Kathleen Ave \& US 95 | Add WB right turn lane | \$353,000 |
| 90 | Hanley Ave \& US 95 | Convert WB right turn to thru lane, widen approach | \$284,000 |
| 91 | Hanley Ave \& US 95 | Add EB right and thru lanes | \$306,000 |
| 92 | US 95 | Signal retiming | \$44,000 |
| 93 | Hayden Ave \& SH 41 | Signal upgrade | Incl. in \#34 |
| 94 | Wyoming Ave \& SH 41 | Wired for future signal | Incl. in \#36 |
| 95 | Boekel Ave \& SH 41 | Signal upgrade | Incl. in \#37 |
| 96 | Nagel Ave \& SH 41 | Add signal | \$500,000 |
| 97 | Lancaster Rd \& SH 41 | Add signal and right and left turn bays | Incl. in \#36 |
| 98 | California St \& SH 41 | Restrict to right in/right out | Incl. in \#37 |
| 99 | Prairie Ave, Meyer Rd \& SH 41 | Close UPRR Spur Crossings | \$232,000 |
| 100 | I90 \& Pennsylvania Ave | Overpass bridge replacement | \$4,900,000 |
| 101 | SH 41 \& $16^{\text {th }}$ Ave | Signal | Incl. in \#30 |
| 102 | SH 41 \& $12^{\text {th }}$ Ave | Restrict to Right-in/Rightout | Incl. in \#30 |
| 103 | SH 41 \& Horsehaven Ave | Restrict to Right-in/Rightout | Incl. in \#30 |
| 104 |  <br> Bogie/Market Lp | Restrict to Right-in/Rightout | Incl. in \#30 |
| 105 | SH 41 \& Hope Ave | Signal | \$412,000 |
| 106 | SH 53 \& Ramsey Rd | Signal, install turn bays | \$2,210,000 |
| 107 | 190 \& SH 41 | Construct new interchange | \$48,000,000 |
| 108 | SH 53 \& UPRR | Bridge replacement and approach realignment | \$16,700,000 |
| 109 | 190 \& CDA River | Cataldo Bridge Replacements | \$16,500,000 |
| 110 | SH 41 \& Orchard Ave | Restrict to Right-in/Rightout | Incl. in \#36 |
| 111 | US 95 \& SH 53 | Interchange | \$17,200,000 |
| 112 | I90 \& Blue Creek Bay | Rehabilitate bridge piers | \$5,000,000 |
| 113 | US 95 \& Garwood Rd | Highway overcrossing, construct frontage road | \$7,400,000 |
| 114 | 190 \& MP 7.64 | Repair culvert | \$670,000 |
| 115 | SH 41 \& Neufeld Ln | Restrict to Right-in/Rightout | Incl. in \#107 |
|  |  | 2025 Total Estimated Cost | \$342,816,000 |
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## MID-TERM PROJECTS

Mid-term projects are projects that may be in preliminary design or are expected to be funded within the next 10 years. The following maps depict the region's overall mid-term transportation plan (Figures 6.2a \& 6.2b). Table 6.3 provides brief descriptions for the corresponding projects. Projects are not listed in priority order. Complete project details can be found in Appendix E.

Table 6.3 Mid-term Projects

| ID | Location | Project Description | Est. Cost (2020) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4th St | Reconstruct | \$4,000,000 |
| 2 | Dalton Ave | Widen to 3 lanes w/ bike lanes | \$1,500,000 |
| 3 | Emma Ave | Widen to 3 lanes | \$1,500,000 |
| 4 | Kathleen Ave | Widen to 3 lanes | \$2,240,000 |
| 5 | Julia St | Construct overpass | Incl. in \#23 |
| 6 | Greensferry Bridge | Construct 2-lane Bridge | \$16,000,000 |
| 7 | E Riverview Dr | Construct to 2 lanes | \$4,640,000 |
| 8 | Bitter Rd | Rebuild | \$2,070,000 |
| 9 | Sun Up Bay Rd | Rebuild | \$3,200,000 |
| 10 | Watson Rd | Reconstruct | \$570,000 |
| 11 | Conkling Park Dr | Rebuild | \$725,000 |
| 12 | 190 - WA to SH 41 | Widen to 3 lanes in each direction | \$64,000,000 |
| 13 | $190-\mathrm{SH} 41 \text { to }$ <br> Sherman Ave | Widen to 3 lanes in each direction | \$325,000,000 |
| 14 | US 95 | Widen to 4 lanes | \$5,000,000 |
| 15 | Chase Rd \& BNSF | Reconstruct approaches | \$500,000 |
| 16 | Meyer Rd \& Hayden Ave | Roundabout | \$1,200,000 |
| 17 | Pleasant View Rd <br> \& Prairie Ave | Multi-lane roundabout | \$580,000 |
| 18 | US 95 \& Neider Ave | Add right turns on Neider | \$159,000 |
| 19 | US 95 \& Canfield Ave | Add right turns on Canfield | \$159,000 |
| 20 | US 95 \& Haycraft Ave | Add right turns on Haycraft | \$159,000 |
| 21 | SH 41 \& Diagonal Rd | Widen and install turn bays | \$1,000,000 |
| 22 | US 95 | Widen US 95 to four lanes; Replace Spokane River Bridge; Reconfigure interchange | \$59,000,000 |
| 23 | US 95 \& 190 | Interchange reconstruction | \$95,000,000 |
| 24 | SH 53 \& Pleasant view Rd | Interchange | \$29,600,000 |
| 25 | SH 53/Bridging the Valley | Close McGuire \& Prairie Ave RR Xings | Incl. in \#24 |
| 26 | Port of Entry | Relocate Port of Entry from current location to space near McGuire Rd | \$35,000,000 |
|  |  | 2030 Total Estimated Cost | \$652,802,000 |
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## LONG-TERM PROJECTS

Figures 6.3a \& 6.3b depict the transportation plan for the long-term, through 2040. Projects included in the long-term transportation plan must be financially constrained. In other words, for a project to be included in the MTP's long-term transportation plan, it must be reasonable to anticipate it being fully-funded by 2040, based on historical funding trends.

Table 6.4 provides brief descriptions for the corresponding projects. Projects are not listed in priority order. Complete project details can be found in Appendix E.

| ID | Location | Project Description | Est. Cost (2020) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Fernan Hill Rd | Widen to 3 lanes | \$2,210,000 |
| 2 | Ironwood Dr | Widen to 4 lanes | \$5,000,000 |
| 3 | Hazel Ave | Widen to 3 lanes | \$1,000,000 |
| 4 | Atlas Rd | C2 Typical Section | \$2,060,000 |
| 5 | Atlas Rd | Reconstruct to 3 lane | \$997,000 |
| 6 | Government Way | Reconstruct to 5 lanes | \$6,600,000 |
| 7 | Honeysuckle Ave | Widen to 5 lanes | \$964,000 |
| 8 | Hayden Ave | Widen to 5 lanes | \$3,500,000 |
| 9 | Hayden Ave | Reconstruct to 5 lanes | \$10,550,000 |
| 10 | Hess St | Build C1 Typical Section | \$827,000 |
| 11 | Hess St | Build C1 Typical Section | \$2,650,000 |
| 12 | Hess St | Build C1 Typical Section | \$1,800,000 |
| 13 | Lancaster Rd | Widen to 5 lanes | \$10,900,000 |
| 14 | Miles Ave | Reconstruct to 3 lanes | \$1,600,000 |
| 15 | Miles Ave | 3 lane Gateway improvement | \$964,000 |
| 16 | Orchard Ave | 3 lane Gateway improvement | \$723,000 |
| 17 | Prairie Ave | A1 Typical Section | \$2,100,000 |
| 18 | Ramsey Rd | Widen to 5 lanes | \$5,100,000 |
| 19 | Ramsey Rd | New 3 lane section | \$5,600,000 |
| 20 | Ramsey Rd | Reconstruct to 3 lane | \$5,200,000 |
| 21 | Strahorn Rd | Build A1 Typical Section | \$390,000 |
| 22 | Strahorn Rd | Build A3 Typical Section | \$1,700,000 |
| 23 | Wyoming Ave | Reconstruct to 3 lane | \$1,800,000 |
| 24 | Huetter Rd | Reconstruct to C1 Typical Section | \$1,700,000 |
| 25 | Poleline Ave | Construct minor arterial, include grade separation | \$7,776,000 |
| 26 | Prairie Ave | Reconstruct to 5-lane minor arterial | \$9,583,000 |
| 27 | Poleline Ave | 4-lane section (north half) | \$625,000 |
| 28 | Huetter Rd | Extend to Singer Rd | \$2,800,000 |
| 29 | Lancaster Rd | Reconstruct to 3 lanes | \$1,160,000 |
| 30 | Main St | Construct 3 lane rural major collector segment over BNSF | Incl. in \#134 |
| 31 | Lancaster Rd | Reconstruct to 5 lanes | \$4,052,000 |
| 32 | Nagel Rd | Construct new 2 lane section | \$974,000 |
| 33 | Boekel Rd | Reconstruct to 3 lanes | \$1,840,000 |
| 34 | Greensferry Rd | Reconstruct to 3 lanes | \$1,980,000 |
| 35 | River Rd | Reconstruct 4.8 miles | \$3,650,000 |
| 36 | Sunnyside Rd | Reconstruct 1.5 miles | \$3,100,000 |
| 37 | Idaho Rd | Reconstruct to 3 lanes | \$3,800,000 |
| 38 | Idaho Rd | Reconstruct to 3 lanes | \$3,700,000 |
| 39 | Greensferry Rd | Reconstruct to 3 lanes | \$3,320,000 |
| 40 | Chase Rd | Reconstruct to 3 lanes | \$266,000 |
| 41 | Prairie Ave | Reconstruct to 3 lanes | \$3,700,000 |
| 42 | Hayden Ave | Reconstruct to 5 lanes | \$7,400,000 |
| 43 | Hayden Ave | Reconstruct to 5 lanes | \$8,855,000 |
| 44 | Hayden Ave | Reconstruct to 5 lanes | \$7,400,000 |
| 45 | Hauser Lake Rd | Reconstruct to 5 lanes | \$2,200,000 |
| 46 | Pleasant View Rd | Reconstruct to 5 lanes | \$5,600,000 |
| 47 | Spokane St | Add 2 lanes | \$11,950,000 |
| 48 | Wyoming Ave | Construct 3 lane Collector | \$9,960,000 |
| 49 | Meyer Rd | Reconstruct to 3 lanes | \$4,995,000 |
| 50 | Huetter Rd | Reconstruct to 3 lanes | \$7,028,000 |
| 51 | Prairie Ave | Reconstruct to 5 lanes | \$3,700,000 |
| 52 | Prairie Ave | Reconstruct to 5 lanes | \$12,500,000 |
| 53 | Prairie Ave | Reconstruct to 5 lanes | \$4,200,000 |
| 54 | SH 41 | Widen roadway w/bike lanes | \$ - |
| 55 | US 95 Huetter Bypass | New 6-lane freeway with 3 lane frontage road | \$340,000,000 |
| 56 |  <br> Honeysuckle Ave | Roundabout | \$970,000 |

*Highlighted projects are not displayed on the maps

Table 6.4 Long-term Projects - Continued

| ID | Location | Project Description | Est. Cost <br> $(2020)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{5 7}$ | Atlas Rd \& Hayden Ave | Signal and turn lanes all | $\$ 1,840,000$ |
| $\mathbf{5 8}$ | Atlas Rd \& Honeysuckle <br> Ave | Add turn lanes @ E/W <br> legs | $\$ 405,000$ |
| $\mathbf{5 9}$ |  <br> Lacey Ave | Signalize w/Turn lanes | $\$ 1,200,000$ |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ |  <br> Lacey Ave | Turn lanes on E/W | approaches |

Table 6.4 Long-term Projects - Continued

| ID | Location | Project Description | Est. Cost (2020) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 93 | Greensferry Rd \& $12^{\text {th }}$ Ave | Roundabout | \$690,000 |
| 94 | Greensferry Rd \& Seltice Way | ADD SB right turn, convert NB right turn to thru/right | \$20,000 |
| 95 | Greensferry Rd \& $3^{\text {rd }}$ Ave | Signal | \$663,000 |
| 96 | Cecil Rd \& Poleline Ave | Signal or roundabout | \$663,000 |
| 97 | Cecil Rd \& 12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave | ADD EB/WB left turn lanes | \$22,000 |
| 98 | W $1 / 4$ Mile \& Poleline Ave | Roundabout | \$690,000 |
| 99 | E $1 / 4$ Mile \& Poleline Ave | Roundabout | \$690,000 |
| 100 | Ross Point Rd \& 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Ave | Roundabout | \$636,000 |
| 101 | Greensferry Rd \& Horsehaven Ave | Roundabout with NB right turn lane | \$672,000 |
| 102 | Clearwater Lp \& Riverbend Ave | ADD NB turn lane | \$9,000 |
| 103 | Poleline Ave \& Huetter Rd | Signal | \$618,000 |
| 104 | Idaho Rd \& UPRR | Install planking, gates, lights | \$579,000 |
| 105 | Henry St \& Mullan Ave | ADD multi-lane roundabout | \$625,000 |
| 106 | Greensferry Rd \& Lancaster Rd | Add left \& right turn lanes | \$760,000 |
| 107 | Lancaster Rd \& Greensferry Rd | Added TWLTL/center median | \$1,063,000 |
| 108 | Chase Rd \& Hayden Ave | Signal | \$580,000 |
| 109 | Greensferry Rd \& Hayden Ave | Signal | \$580,000 |
| 110 | Huetter Frontage Rd \& Hayden Ave | Signal | \$580,000 |
| 111 | Idaho Rd \& Hayden Ave | Signal | \$580,000 |
| 112 | McGuire Rd \& Hayden Ave | Signal | \$580,000 |
| 113 | SH 3 \& Old Lane Rd | Intersection reconstruction | \$481,000 |
| 114 | SH 3 \& Rosewood Rd | Geometric | \$481,000 |
| 115 | SH 3 \& Black Lake Rd | Intersection reconstruction | \$481,000 |
| 116 | SH 3 \& Killarney Lake Rd | Intersection reconstruction | \$481,000 |
| 117 | SH 97 \& Arrow Rd | Intersection reconstruction | \$481,000 |
| 118 | SH 97 \& Driftwood Heights | Intersection reconstruction | \$481,000 |
| 119 | SH 97 \& Half Round Bay Rd | Intersection reconstruction | \$481,000 |
| 120 | SH 97 \& Harlow Pt Rd | Intersection reconstruction | \$481,000 |
| 121 | Williams Rd | Bridge Replacement | \$21,200 |
| 122 | SH41 | Add left turn lanes. Correct skew at Coeur d'Alene St. | \$315,000 |
| 123 | SH41 | Add left turn lanes. | \$326,000 |
| 124 | 190 \& Spokane St | Improve Ramps | \$5,000,000 |
| 125 | Pleasant View Rd \& 190 | Add EB/WB right turn lanes | \$125,500 |
| 126 | 190 | Huetter Bypass Interchange | \$700,000 |
| 127 | Poleline Ave | Huetter Bypass Interchange | \$700,000 |
| 128 | Prairie Ave | Huetter Bypass Interchange | \$700,000 |
| 129 | Hayden Ave | Huetter Bypass Interchange | \$700,000 |
| 130 | Wyoming Ave | Huetter Bypass Interchange | \$700,000 |
| 131 | Lancaster Ave | Huetter Bypass Interchange | \$700,000 |
| 132 | SH 53 | Huetter Bypass Interchange | \$700,000 |
| 133 | Ohio Match Rd \& US 95 | Interchange | \$15,800,000 |
| 134 | SH 53 \& Mill St | Interchange | \$15,100,000 |
|  |  | 2040 Total Estimated Cost | \$656,220,700 |
|  | ghted projects are not | played on the maps |  |
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## PROJECT LIST <br> SUMMARY

Based on the list of short, mid, and long-term projects compiled from local agencies, the total estimated costs for project needs in the Kootenai County region is:

Long-Term Road Improvement Projects
Through 2040
TOTAL COST: $\$ 656,220,700$

Mid-Term Road Improvement Projects
Through 2030
SHORT-TERM ROAD IMPROVEMENT
Projects Through 2025
TOTAL COST: $\$ 652,802,000$

TOTAL COST: \$ 342,816,000

2020-2040 TotAL Project Costs: $\quad \$ 1,651,838,700$

* Estimated costs for all project years are in 2020 dollars.


# DEVELOPMENT-DRIVEN PROJECTS 

The need for transportation improvements often exceeds the amount of funding available. One way to implement transportation improvements outside of traditional governmental funding sources is through the private sector.

The new roadways included in Table 6.5 are part of the planned roadway system but are not currently funded by the jurisdictions and are development-driven. These roadways are part of the local jurisdictions' transportation plans and will most likely be funded through subdivision projects.

The roadway projects have been modeled to exist at the request of the jurisdictions and are included in both the KMPO modeling forecasts and the federal functional classification (FFC) maps. However, because these projects are not required to be financially constrained, they are illustrated on a separate map from the 2025, 2030 and 2040 transportation plan maps (Figure 6.4)

Table 6.5 Development-Driven Projects

| ID | Location | Project Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | New Road | ADD Urban Collector |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Radcliff | ADD rural major collector |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | W. 1/4 Mile | ADD Proposed Major Collector |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Hope | ADD Proposed Major Collector |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Seasons Road | New connection |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Hanley Ave | ADD proposed urban minor arterial |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Wilbur | ADD proposed urban collector |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Atlas | C2 Roadway Section |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Carrington | C2 Roadway Section |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Carrington | C2 Roadway Section |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Warren | ADD proposed urban collector |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Robison | ADD proposed urban collector AC1 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Dakota | Add proposed urban collector AC 2 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Dakota | A2 typical section |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Dakota | AC 2 typical section |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | Dakota | C1 typical section |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | Buckles | Upgrade from local access to proposed |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Buckles | urban minor arterial C1 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | New Road | AC 2 typical section |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Miles Ave | A2 typical section |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | Miles Ave | AC 2 typical section |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | Miles Ave | AC 2 typical section |
|  |  | C typical section |

Table 6.5 Development-Driven Projects - Continued

| ID | Location | Project Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | Miles Ave | AC 2 typical section |
| 24 | Hayden Ave | AC 1 typical section |
| 25 | Prairie Ave | C1 typical section |
| 26 | 4th | AC 1 typical section |
| 27 | Cranston | AC 1 typical section |
| 28 | Honeysuckle Ave | AC 2 typical section |
| 29 | Honeysuckle Ave | AC 1 typical section |
| 30 | Honeysuckle Ave | AC 2 typical section |
| 31 | Honeysuckle Ave | A2 typical section |
| 32 | Orchard Ave | AC 2 typical section |
| 33 | Orchard Ave | AC 2 typical section |
| 34 | Lacey Ave | AC 2 typical section |
| 35 | Lacey Ave | C1 typical section |
| 36 | Lacey Ave | AC 2 typical section |
| 37 | Wyoming Ave | AC 2 typical section |
| 38 | Wyoming Ave | AC 1 typical section |
| 39 | Lancaster Ave | A 1 typical section |
| 40 | Lancaster Ave | Upgrade to Principle Arterial |
| 41 | Lancaster Ave | Upgrade to Principle Arterial |
| 42 | Boekel Rd | C1 typical section |
| 43 | Boekel Rd | C1 typical section |
| 44 | Chateaux | AC1 typical section |
| 45 | Diamond Bar | ADD proposed rural major collector C1 |
| 46 | Diamond Bar | C1 typical section |
| 47 | Spokane St | ADD proposed major collector |
| 48 | W 1/4 Mile | ADD proposed major collector |
| 49 | E 1/4 Mile | ADD proposed major collector |
| 50 | E 1/2 Mile | ADD proposed major collector |
| 51 | E 1/2 Mile | ADD proposed major collector |
| 52 | Clark Fork Pkwy | Reconstruct as major collector |
| 53 | Cecil Rd | ADD proposed major collector |
| 54 | W 1/4 Mile | ADD proposed major collector |
| 55 | E 1/4 Mile | ADD proposed major collector |
| 56 | E 1/4 Mile | ADD proposed major collector |
| 57 | E 1/2 Mile | ADD proposed major collector |
| 58 | E 1/2 Mile | ADD proposed major collector |
| 59 | Bluegrass/Hope Ave | ADD proposed major collector |
| 60 | Bluegrass/Hope Ave | ADD proposed major collector |
| 61 | Syringa St | ADD proposed major collector |
| 62 | E. 1/4 Mile | ADD Proposed Major Collector |
| 63 | W. 1/2 Mile | ADD Proposed Major Collector |
| 64 | Compton | Reconstruct as Minor Collector |
| 65 | Beechwood | ADD proposed rural minor collector |
| 66 | Sedona | ADD proposed rural minor collector |
| 67 | California | ADD proposed rural minor collector |
| 68 | Majestic | ADD proposed rural minor collector |
| 69 | New rural minor collector | ADD proposed rural minor collector |
| 70 | Rio Grande | ADD proposed rural major collector |
| 71 | E $1 / 2$ Mile | ADD proposed rural major collector |
| 72 | Nagel | ADD proposed rural major collector |
| 73 | Tombstone | ADD rural major collector |
| 74 | Trails End | Upgrade from local access to rural major collector |
| 75 | Ok Corral | ADD rural major collector |
| 76 | Ok Corral | ADD proposed rural major collector |
| 77 | Radcliff | ADD proposed rural major collector |
| 78 | Meyer | ADD proposed rural major collector |
| 79 | Lancaster | Upgrade to rural major collector |
| 80 | Lancaster | ADD proposed rural major collector |
| 81 | Rookery | ADD proposed rural major collector |
| 82 | Ramsey Rd | Dev. Driven, overlay + 2 intersections |
| 83 | E $1 / 4$ Mile | ADD proposed rural major collector |
| 84 | W $1 / 4$ Mile | ADD proposed rural major collector |
| 85 | W $1 / 2$ Mile | ADD proposed rural major collector |
| 86 | W 112 M Mile | ADD proposed rural minor collector |
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## VISUALIZATIONS

Information on financing available for projects follows the Transportation Improvement Plan. Below are a few visualizations of proposed regional projects, such as widening Huetter Rd to three lanes (addition of a two-way left turn lane) from Seltice Way to Lancaster Rd, reconstructing and paving 1.64 miles of Diagonal Road, reconstructing 1.9 miles of Kidd Island Road, widening Meyer Road to four lanes from Lancaster Rd to Boekel Road, and making improvements to Fernan Hill Road from Coeur d 'Alene City Limits to one mile east.

## HUETTER ROAD

(POST FALLS HIGHWAY DISTRICT)


## DIAGONAL ROAD

(LAKES HIGHWAY DISTRICT)


KIDD ISLAND BAY ROAD
(WORLEY HIGHWAY DISTRICT)

## VISUALIZATION - RECONSTRUCT; <br> Present



MEYER ROAD
(CITY OF RATHDRUM)
Visualization - Reconstruct to
PRESENT


FERNAN HILL RD
(EAST SIDE HIGHWAY DISTRICT)

PRESENT


Visualization - Fernan Hill Road; partial
RECONSTRUCTION 1 MILE TO CITY LIMITS


## CONCLUSION

Today, the Kootenai area transportation system continues to experience the effects of growth and development. Arterials built to serve developments are absorbing the traffic coming in from outlying suburban areas, as well.

Current traffic operations within the regional transportation system have a high overall operating performance. However, this performance is expected to decline as growth and development continue to have an impact in the outlying areas, as discussed in Sections 3 and 4.

In the 2018 Base Model, some roads such as Ironwood Drive, Lincoln Way, Government Way, Hubbard and River Avenues, Fort Grounds Drive, and15 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street are nearing capacity, primarily at intersections. US Highway 95 is also showing signs of increased congestion with additional trips from growth and development.

In the 2040 No-Build model, sections of the following roads are shown to be operating over their capacity: Ironwood Drive, Seltice Way, Northwest Boulevard, Lakewood Drive, Emma Avenue, $15^{\text {th }}$ Street, Huetter Road, Hayden Avenue, Atlas Road, 7th Street, $9^{\text {th }}$ Street, Lincoln Way, Fort Grounds Drive, Hubbard Avenue, River Avenue, Riverstone Drive, Upriver Drive, Mullan Ave, SH-41, Pleasant View Road, Government Way, US 95 and I-90.

The 2040 Build forecast model shows an overall regional decrease in congestion compared with the No Build scenario. However, some
congestion problems are shown to exist along I-90, Seltice Way, $15^{\text {th }}$ Street, $9^{\text {th }}$ Street, Riverstone Drive, Greensferry Road, US 95, Northwest Boulevard, Ironwood Drive, Lincoln Way, Fort Grounds Drive, Hubbard Avenue, River Avenue, Julia Street, Poleline Avenue, Riverbend Avenue and Government Way.

To be proactive and prevent this decline, it is important that each jurisdiction do its part to construct projects that meet transportation needs identified in this Metropolitan Transportation Plan. If the key to the success of the MTP is to strategically invest in projects that meet those deficiencies, it is important to defer non-essential capacity increasing projects that are inconsistent with the goals and policies of the comprehensive land use and transportation plans being developed.



[^0]:    *Highlighted projects are not displayed on the maps

[^1]:    *Highlighted projects are not displayed on the maps

